Introduction to 2024 Edition Seismic Design Category Maps & FEMA's Building Code Strategy and the National Initiative to Advance Building Codes

> Kelly Cobeen S.E., *Wiss Janney Elstner Associates* Jonathan Westcott, P.E., Civil Engineer, Building Science Branch, FEMA-Resilience 7/11/2024





FEMA's Building Code Strategy and the National Initiative to Advance Building Codes

07/11/2024 Jonathan Westcott, P.E. Civil Engineer, Building Science Branch, FEMA-Resilience





Building Codes Save

Key Highlights

- Hazards: flood, hurricane wind, seismic
- \$1.6 Billion Average Annualized Loss Avoidance
- \$32 Billion saved over 20 years
- \$132 Billion in savings possible by 2040
- Building and contents damages only



Building Codes Save: A Nationwide Study Losses Avoided as a Result of Adopting Hazard-Resistant Building Codes

November 2020

😻 FEMA



PROTECTING Communities AND Saving Money

The Case for Adopting Building Codes

November 2020







FEMA Policies and Regulations: Building Codes and Standards

 \rightarrow TODAY 4 2015 1996 2018 FEMA Hazard Mitigation The National Technology Bipartisan Budget Act of 2018 amends Grant Program sets aside an Transfer and Advancement additional 5% of funding for the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief Act (Public Law 104-113), and Emergency Assistance Act Sec. activities that promote use of 1991 codifies pre-existing policies on 406(b). disaster-resistant codes for all NFHRP Recommended 2000 the development and use of 2010 hazards Provisions becomes FEMA funds the first Reducing 2018 voluntary consensus standards FEMA Hazard Mitigation the basis for seismic 2015 Flood Losses through the NEHRP is reauthorized to encourage in the Office of Management Assistance Grant Program code language in the International Codes National Windstorm Impact and Budget Circular A-119. robust code enforcement. requires all hurricane or following three codes 1977 Reduction Act Reauthorization tornado safe room projects 1997 2000 and standards*: 2018 of 2015. FEMA shall work The National Earthquake to be in accordance with the NEHRP Recommended Publication of the Prestandard Goal 1 of the 2018-2022 FEMA closely with national standards Hazards Reduction Program 1993 latest edition of ICC-500. Provisions and the and Commentary for the Seismic Strategic Plan is to "Build a Culture of and model building code (NEHRP) is established *Building Officials Uniform Building Code is Rehabilitation of Buildings (FEMA Preparedness " organizations. • 2012 and Code coordinated. 356). **1979** 2018 Publication of Seismic 2015 Administrators FEMA is founded. 1998 2000 Performance Assessment FFMA Hazard Mitigation Disaster Recovery Reform Act of 2018 National Building ASCE 24's first edition is The first edition of the I-Codes® of Buildings (FEMA P-58), Assistance Grant Program amends the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Code **1982** released is released which allows for the seismic Relief and Emergency Assistance requires all structure elevation 1993 The participation in the performance assessment and dry floodproofing projects Act, including the addition of direct *ASCE 7: Minimum 1998 2003 development and use of of buildings to higher references to the adoption and to be in accordance with Design Loads for FEMA releases Taking voluntary consensus codes The first edition of the performance levels than latest edition of ASCE/SEI 24 enforcement of the latest published Buildings and Other and standards is required by Shelter From the Storm International Existing Building code based life safety is Flood Resistant Design and editions of relevant consensus-based all federal agencies. Structures (FEMA P-320). Code is released. released. codes, specifications, and standards. Construction. 1977 1990 2000 2010 2020 2008 2010 2016 1999 1985 1994 2022 ICC-500 is published FEMA Hazard Mitigation Disaster Risk Reduction FEMA Hazard Mitigation The first edition of the *Southern Building FEMA Building Codes Assistance Grant Program Grant Program funds Minimum Codes and Standards 📥 Strategy is released. NEHRP Recommended Code Congress 2004 requires all mitigation FEMA Policy 204-078-2 is post-disaster code Seismic Provisions (FEMA International reconstruction activities to be signed. enforcement. The National Windstorm 95) is released. 2020 Standard Building in accordance with the latest Impact Reduction Program FEMA Policy FP 204-079-01 Code 1998 2016 editions of the I-Codes® and is established and NEHRP 1985 🌔 is signed. OMB Circular A-119 is revised. The Code Resource ASCE/SEI 24. is reauthorized. FEMA is The first Southern 1994 detailing the federal strategy for Development Committee tasked to work closely Building Code Congress The International Code 2010 developing standards. facilitates the seismic with national standards International Standard for Council (ICC) is founded. FEMA Hazard Mitigation Grant provisions of the newly and model building code Floodplain Management 2016 proposed International Program sets aside additional organizations. is published. FEMA Public Assistance implements 1992 5% funding for activities that Codes (I-Codes®). a new Required Minimum Codes 2000 promote use of disaster-The Code Compatibility 1998 and Standards policy generally resistant codes for all hazards. Report and its appendices FEMA releases the first edition **Timeline Kev** Handbook for the Seismic requiring applicants to incorporate are released. of Safe Rooms for Tornadoes Evaluation of Buildings the natural hazard-resistant codes = Federal law or mandate and Hurricanes (FEMA P-361) (FEMA 310) is released. 1990 and standards and related provisions = FEMA action referenced in the most recent NEHRP is reauthorized, shifting = Building code action published edition of the I-Codes®. the program's emphasis from * = Grouped actions prediction to hazard reduction

FEMA Building Codes Strategy: Vision and Mission

Vision

A resilient nation with superior building performance in disasters.

Mission

Coordinate and prioritize FEMA's activities to advance the adoption and enforcement of disaster resistant building codes and standards for FEMA programs and for communities nationwide.





Building Codes Strategy: Goals and Objectives



Integrate Building Codes and Standards Across FEMA



A united FEMA moves together and speaks with a common understanding

Goal 2

Strengthen Nationwide Capability for Superior Building Performance



Coordination, training, and research improve building performance, reduce future damage, and save lives **Goal 3**

Drive Public Action on Building Codes



A Nation that values and utilizes building codes is more resilient





National Initiative to Advance Building Codes (NIABC)

Key Priorities



Key Activities

- Comprehensively review federal funding and financing to ensure federally-supported building construction projects follow modern building codes to the greatest extent feasible.
- Provide incentives and support for communities to adopt current building codes by providing technical assistance, implementing proven strategies and best practices.
- Lead by example across the federal building portfolio by seizing opportunities to advance "above-code" resilience and energy efficiency standards in new projects, to achieve netzero emissions across federal buildings by 2045.
- Click to access SharePoint







Introduction to 2024 Edition Seismic Design Category Maps

Kelly Cobeen S.E., Wiss Janney Elstner Associates







New Seismic Design Category Information!!

Brochure:

Introduction to 2024 Edition Seismic Design Category Maps

Publication:

FEMA P-2192-4

- For:
 - The general public
 - Earthquake program managers
 - Designers using the IRC and IBC



https://www.fema.gov/sites/default/files/documents/fema_p-2192-nehrp-provisions-seismic-design-maps-2024-irc-ibc.pdf





Outline

- Introduction to the Seismic Design Category Maps
- 2024 International Residential Code (IRC) Seismic Design Category Maps
- 2024 International Building Code (IBC) Seismic Deign Category Maps
- 2024 Updates to The National Seismic Hazard Models and the SDC Maps
- References and Other Resources





Introduction – What the Maps Illustrate

- The varying seismic hazard in the US and territories as used by our building codes for design of new structures
- Expressed as colored contours that assign Seismic Design Categories (SDCs)
- Considers potential EQ ground motion, site soil amplification, structure size, configuration, occupancy and use



https://www.fema.gov/sites/default/files/documents/fema_p-2192-nehrp-provisions-seismic-design-maps-2024-irc-ibc.pdf





Introduction – What the Maps Illustrate

- The varying seismic hazard in the US and territories as used by our building codes for design of new structures
- Expressed as Seismic
 Design Category (SDC)
- Considers potential EQ ground motion, site soil amplification, structure size, configuration, occupancy and use







Introduction – Why Seismic Hazard is Of Interest

 A national issue as described in FEMA P-366



Hazus Estimated Annualized Earthquake Losses for the United States

FEMA P-366 / April 2023







Developed Land Area State SDC D or E Land Area (sq. km.) (sq. km.) California 39.538.223 14.584 646,400 Washington 6,966,185 4,200 315.192 Oregon 4,152,460 2,775 458.298 Tennessee 4,110,419 3,309 89.333 Puerto Rico 3.285.874 1.436 9.910 Utah 3,178,870 1,672 280,063 Nevada 3,104,614 1,331 477,410 Missouri 2.875.678 2.361 107.356 1,667,895 1,851 Arkansas 118,027 Hawaiʻi 1,381,973 493 17.056 Illinois 1,296,573 1,591 76,303 South Carolina 1.239.371 1.017 35.423 New Mexico 1.170.446 803 88.898 Mississippi 884.693 1.082 61.819 1,222 884,128 50,099 Kentucky Alaska 728,457 536 8,129,971

Table D-1. Population Exposure by State to Seismic Design Categories (SDC) D or E

13

Introduction – Where the Maps Come From



E STANDARD EVEL -22 Minimum Design Loads and Associated Criteria for Buildings and Other Structures

FEMA



SEL INCOM

Introduction - Map Use in the International Residential Code (IRC)

- One- and two-family detached dwellings
- Townhouses up to three stories
- Prescriptive pre-engineered seismic design provisions, mostly tabulated
- Maps Identify SDC A, B,
 C, D₀, D₁, D₂, E









Introduction - Map Use in the International Building Code (IBC)

- Structures not addressed by IRC
- Engineered seismic design provisions
- SDC A, B, C, D, E, F









Introduction - Differences Between IRC and IBC Maps

IRC Maps Apply To:

- Buildings constructed using IRC provisions
- Low-rise buildings controlled by short period (stiff building) behavior
- IRC SDC E is triggered by S_{DS} greater than 1.25g
- IRC SDCs divided into A, B, C, D₀, D₁, D₂ & E
 IBC Maps Apply To:
- All Other Structures
- Assignment uses S_{DS} and S_{D1}
- IBC SDC E is triggered by S₁ greater than
 0.75g
- IBC SDCs divided into A, B, C, D, E and F



2024 IBC

2024 IRC



Introduction - Other Uses of the Maps

- General information on seismic hazard
- NEHRP use to determine high seismic hazard states and territories eligible for Individual State Assistance Grant Programs
- FEMA tracks the building code adoption status for state, local, tribal and territorial governments across the nation to evaluate several important aspects of a community's natural hazard risks and building code adoption.





2024 IRC Seismic Design Category Maps







2024 IRC Seismic Design Category Maps – What Has Been Updated

- The most current hazard data and design map procedures have been incorporated
 - Updated 2018 USGS National Seismic Hazard Models (NSHMs),
 - The site-specific ground motion procedures of the 2020 NEHRP Recommended Provisions
- In the 2024 update, the two sets of maps previously included in the 2018 and 2021 IRC editions have been reduced to a single 2024 edition map set
- Consistent with the 2020 NEHRP Provisions and ASCE/SEI 7-22, an expanded set or site classes is included in the mapping, providing more specific identification of site soil effects





2024 IRC Seismic Design Category Maps – Where the Maps Can Be Used

Site soils can have a significant impact on the earthquake demands on buildings,

- The IRC SDC maps can be used for the majority of dwelling sites because they reflect seismic hazard for the most critical of standard site soil conditions (Site Class C, CD, D)
- The IRC SDC maps cannot be used for poor soil sites as discussed in IRC Section R401. Per IRC Section R401, the already required geotechnical study is required to include determination of SDS for purposes of seismic design, from which IRC Table R301.2.2.1.1 can be used to assign SDC.





- In print and pdf in several publications including:
 - 2024 IRC and
 - □ FEMA P-2192-4

https://www.fema.gov/sites/default/files/documents/fema_p-2192-nehrp-provisions-seismic-design-maps-2024-irc-ibc.pdf

The state and county lines on these maps provide adequate detail for assignment of the SDC in some but not all locations.

- When more detailed information on the IRC SDC is needed:
 - As referenced in the 2024 IRC maps, USGS guidance on available tools can be found at: <u>https://doi.org/10.5066/F7NK3C76</u>. This link provides guidance on determination of SDC. A step-wise explanation follows in this presentation.







Steps to determine SDC as assigned by the IRC SDC maps (incorporating default Site Class):

- 1. Go to the ASCE Hazard Tool site: https//ascehazardtool.org,
- 2. Enter property address,
- 3. Standard Version: Select ASCE 7-22,
- 4. Risk Category: Select Risk Category II,
- 5. Site Soil Class: Select Default,
- 6. From results (summary, detailed, or full report), determine spectral response acceleration at short periods (S_{DS}) value, and
- Using the S_{DS} value, select the appropriate SDC per IRC Table R301.2.2.1.1.
 DO NOT USE the SDC assigned by the ASCE Hazard Tool, as it is an IBC SDC, and may not be correct for IRC use.

IRC Maps – *Default* Site Class (Soil Conditions)





Steps to determine SDC as assigned by the IRC SDC maps (incorporates default Site Class):

 Go to the ASCE Hazard Tool site:
 https//ascehazardtool.

org,

2. Enter property address,

ASCE HAZARD TOOL







25

- 3. Standard Version: Select ASCE 7-22
- 4. Risk Category: Select Risk Category II
- 5. Site Soil Class:
 - Select Default

ASCE HAZARD TO

1 Enter Structure	Information		
 Enter Location Image: Image of the second seco	\rm 🗌 Sna	p to Address	
ADDRESS	LAT/LONG	FIND ON MAP	
Portland, Ore	egon	SEARCH	Ľ
2 Requested Data	L.		
Standard Versi ASCE/SEI 7-22	ion 1 NEW! A availabl	SCE/SEI 41 now e	
▲ Risk Category	 i Site ✓ Defau 	Soil Class 🚺	
E Measurements			-





 From results (summary, detailed, or full report), determine spectral response acceleration at short periods (S_{DS}) value, and

REPORT SUMMARY

Site InformationAddress:Portland, Oregon, ,Elevation:101 ft (NAVD 88)Lat:45.516018Long:-122.681425	
Address: Portland, Oregon, , Elevation: 101 ft (NAVD 88) Lat: 45.516018 Long: -122.681425	
Elevation: 101 ft (NAVD 88) Lat: 45.516018 Long: -122.681425	
Lat: 45.516018	
Long: -122.681425	
Standard: ASCE/SEI 7-22	
Risk II	
Category:	
Soil Class: Default	
Seismic Data	
S _S 0.95	
S ₁ 0.36	
S _{MS} 1.16	
S _{M1} 0.79	
S _{DS} 0.77	
S _{D1} 0.52	
T _L 16	
PGA _M 0.5	

S_{DS} = 0.77g





7. Using the S_{DS} value, select the appropriate SDC per IRC Table R301.2.2.1.1. DO NOT USE the SDC assigned by the ASCE Hazard Tool, as it is an IBC SDC, and may not be correct for IRC use.

	CALCULATED SDS	SEISMIC DESIGN CATEGORY	
	$S_{DS} \leq 0.17g$	А	
	0.17g < S _{DS} ≤ 0.33g	В	
-0.774	$0.33g < S_{DS} \le 0.50g$	С	
$S_{DS} = 0.77g$	$0.50g < S_{DS} \le 0.67g$	D ₀	
	$0.67g < S_{DS} \le 0.83g$	D ₁ SI	$DC = D_1$
	0.83g < S _{DS} ≤ 1.25g	D ₂	
	1.25g < S _{DS}	E	

TABLE R301.2.2.1.1 SEISMIC DESIGN CATEGORY DETERMINATION





Steps to determine SDC as assigned by the IRC SDC maps (incorporating known Site Class):

- Determine Site (soil) Class from site-specific geotechnical report or other reliable source 1. acceptable to the building official,
- Go to the ASCE Hazard Tool site: https//ascehazardtool.org, 2.
- 3. Enter property address,
- Standard Version: Select ASCE 7-22, 4
- Risk Category: Select Risk Category II, 5.
- Site Soil Class: Select Class identified in Step 1 6
- From results (summary, detailed, or full report), determine spectral response acceleration at 7. short periods (S_{DS}) value, and
- 8. Using the S_{DS} value, select the appropriate SDC per IRC Table R301.2.2.1.1. DO NOT USE the SDC assigned by the ASCE Hazard Tool, as it is an IBC SDC, and may not be correct for IRC use.





Steps to determine SDC (incorporates known Site Class):

 Determine Site (soil)
 Class from site-specific geotechnical report or
 other reliable source
 acceptable to the
 building official,







Steps to determine SDC as assigned by the IRC SDC maps (incorporates default Site Class):

2. Go to the ASCE Hazard Tool site:

https//ascehazardtool.

org,

3. Enter property

address,

ASCE HAZARD TOOL







31

- 4. Standard Version: Select ASCE 7-22
- 5. Risk Category: Select Risk Category II
- 6. Site Soil Class:
 - Select Site Class identified in Step 1 (example uses BC)







From results (summary, detailed, or full report), determine spectral response acceleration at short periods (S_{DS}) value, and

REPORT SUMMARY

Site Information Address: 1200 SW Main St, Portland, Oregon, 97205 129 ft (NAVD 88) Elevation: 45.517901 Lat: -122.686466 Long: Standard: ASCE/SEI 7-22 Risk Ш Category: Soil Class: BC Seismic Data SS 0.95 S₁ 0.36 S_{MS} 0.85 0.36 S_{M1} S_{DS} 0.57 S_{D1} 0.24 T 16 **PGA**_M 0.4

 $S_{DS} = 0.57g$



Using the S_{DS} value, select the appropriate SDC per IRC Table R301.2.2.1.1.
 DO NOT USE the SDC assigned by the ASCE Hazard Tool, as it is an IBC SDC, and may not be correct for IRC use.

	CALCULATED S _{DS}	SEISMIC DESIGN CATEGORY	
	<i>S_{DS}</i> ≤ 0.17g	А	
$S_{-1} = 0.57 q$	$0.17g < S_{DS} \le 0.33g$	В	
ODS O.OTE	$0.33g < S_{DS} \le 0.50g$	С	
	$0.50g < S_{DS} \le 0.67g$		$\mathbf{SDC} = \mathbf{D}_0$
	$0.67g < S_{DS} \le 0.83g$	D ₁	
	0.83g < S _{DS} ≤ 1.25g	D ₂	
	1.25g < S _{DS}	E	
	1.25g < S _{DS}	E	

TABLE R301.2.2.1.1 SEISMIC DESIGN CATEGORY DETERMINATION





2024 IBC Seismic Design Category Maps







2024 IBC Seismic Design Category Maps – What Has Been Updated

New in the 2024 edition, IBC seismic hazard maps are now presented as SDC maps, similar but not identical to IRC SDC maps.

 Replace spectral response acceleration maps that were provided in previous editions of the IBC.



2024 IBC Seismic Design Category Maps – What Has Been Updated

The user of the IBC is no longer required to multiply mapped spectral response accelerations by Site Coefficients Fa and Fv. The Site Coefficients have been deleted from both the IBC and ASCE/SEI 7, and the site adjustments are applied in the USGS database. The user simply needs to select the applicable Site Class when using the ASCE Hazard Tool.

		T VALUES	ABLE 1613.2.3(1) OF SITE COEFFICIE		ONE FRO	M 2024	IBC AND AS	SCE 7-22	VALUES (ABLE 1613.2.3(2) OF SITE COEFFICIE			
SITE CLASS		MAPPED RISK SPECTRAL RE	TARGETED MAXIMUN	I CONSIDERED EART	HQUAKE (MCE _R) SHORT PERIOD		SITE CLASS		MAPPED RISK SPECTRAL RES	TARCETLD MAXIMUM ONSE ACCELERATIO	I CONSIDERED EART	HQUAKE (MCE _R) •SECOND PERIOD	
	<i>S_s</i> ≤ 0.25	<i>S_s</i> = 0.50	<i>S_s</i> = 0.75	<i>S_s</i> = 1.00	<i>S_s</i> = 1.25	<i>S</i> _s ≥ 1.5		<i>S</i> ₁≤ 0.1	$S_1 = 0.2$	S ₁ = 0.3	<i>S</i> ₁ = 0.4	<i>S</i> ₁ = 0.5	$S_1 \ge 0.6$
А	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	А	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8
В	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	ю	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8
С	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	С	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.4
D	1.6	1.4	1.2	1.1	1.0	1.0	D	2.4	2.2°	2.0°	1.9°	1.8°	1.7°
E	2.4	1.7	1.3	Note b	Note b	Note b	E	4.2	3.3°	2.8°	2.4°	2.2°	2.0°
F	Note b	Note b	Note b	Note b	Note b	Note b	F	Note b	Note b	Note b	Note b	Note b	Note b

a. Use straight-line interpolation for intermediate values of mapped spectral response acceleration at short period, S_{s} .

b. Values shall be determined in accordance with Section 11.4.8 of ASCE 7.

a. Use straight-line interpolation for intermediate values of mapped spectral response acceleration at L-second period, S_1

b. Values shall be determined in accordance with Section 11.4.8 of ASCE 7.

c. See requirements for site-specific ground motions in Section 11.4.8 of ASCE 7.





2024 IBC Seismic Design Category Maps – What Has Been Updated

- Includes the most current seismic hazard data and design map procedures via the USGS's 2018 National Seismic Hazard Models (NSHMs) and the site-specific ground motion procedures of the 2020 NEHRP Recommended Provisions.
- Under the 2024 IBC, designers have the choice to use the IBC SDC maps or the provisions of ASCE/SEI 7 to determine SDC.
- It is anticipated that many design professionals will use the ASCE/SEI 7 provisions, particularly where Site Classes A through BC are applicable in which case a lower SDC might be assigned.
- Consistent with the 2020 NEHRP Provisions and ASCE/SEI 7-22, an expanded set or site classes is included in the mapping, providing more specific identification of site soil effects





2024 IBC Seismic Design Category Maps – Where the Maps Can Be Used

The site soils can have a significant impact on the earthquake demands on buildings, with buildings on soft soils seeing increased demands.

- The IBC SDC maps can be conservatively used for most sites, the IBC maps are developed based on default site (soil) conditions (most critical of Site Classes C, CD, and D).
- IBC SDC maps are not permitted to be used for Site Classes DE, E, or F. For these Site Classes the IBC requires that the SDC be determined in accordance with ASCE/SEI 7.





- The maps can be found in print and pdf in several publications including:
 - 2024 IBC and
 - □ FEMA P-2192-4.

https://www.fema.gov/sites/default/files/documents/fema_p-2192-nehrp-provisions-seismic-design-maps-2024-irc-ibc.pdf

The state and county lines on these maps provide adequate detail for assignment of the SDC in some but not all locations.

- When more detailed information on the IBC SDC maps are needed, USGS guidance on available tools can be found at:
 - https://doi.org/10.5066/F7NK3C76. This link provides guidance on determination of SDC.
 The following is a step-wise explanation:







https://www.fema.gov/sites/default/files/documents/fema_p-2192-nehrp-provisions-seismic-design-maps-2024-irc-ibc.pdf





Steps to determine SDC as assigned by the IBC SDC maps (incorporating default Site Class):

- 1. Go to the ASCE Hazard Tool site: https//ascehazardtool.org,
- 2. Enter property address,
- 3. Standard Version: Select ASCE 7-22,
- 4. Risk Category: Select applicable Risk Category based on IBC Table 1604.5
- 5. Site Soil Class: Select Default,
- 6. From results (summary, detailed, or full report), determine the Seismic Design Category

IBC Maps – *Default* Site Class (Soil Conditions)





Steps to determine SDC as assigned by the IRC SDC maps (incorporates default Site Class):

 Go to the ASCE Hazard Tool site:
 https//ascehazardtool.

org,

2. Enter property address,

ASCE HAZARD TOOL







3. Standard Version:

Select ASCE 7-22

4. Risk Category:

Select applicable Risk Category (Risk Category II selected for this example)

5. Site Soil Class:

Select Default

		- 4 8 1	

Enter Location ()	Snap	to Address	l
ADDRESS LA	T/LONG	FIND ON MAP	
Portland, Oregon	>	SEARCH	ľ
2 Requested Data			t
■ Standard Version ① ASCE/SEI 7-22	NEW! AS available	CE/SEI 41 now	L
A Risk Category 🕕	₹ Site S	oil Class 📵	
	Defaul		





 From results (summary, detailed, or full report), determine the Seismic Design Category

SDC = D	
---------	--

REPORT SUMMARY

Standard:	ASCE/SEI 7-22
Risk	11
Category:	11
Soil Class:	Default
Seismic I	Data
SS	0.95
S ₁	0.36
S _{MS}	1.16
S _{M1}	0.79
S _{DS}	0.77
S _{D1}	0.53
TL	16
PGA _M	0.51
V _{S30}	260
Seismic	
Design	D
Category	
	Where values of the multi-period 5%-damped MCER response
	spectrum are not available from the USGS Seismic Design
Note	Geodatabase, the design response spectrum shall be
	permitted to be determined in accordance with Section



Steps to determine SDC as assigned by the IBC SDC maps (incorporating known Site Class):

- 1. Determine Site (soil) Class from site-specific geotechnical report or other reliable source acceptable to the building official,
- 2. Go to the ASCE Hazard Tool site: https//ascehazardtool.org,
- 3. Enter property address,
- 4. Standard Version: Select ASCE 7-22,
- 5. Risk Category: Select applicable Risk Category from IBC Table 1604.5
- 6. Site Soil Class: Select Default,
- From results (summary, detailed, or full report), determine Seismic Design Category (SDC)

IBC Maps – Known Site Class (Soil Conditions)





Steps to determine SDC as assigned by the IBC and ASCE 7 (incorporates known Site Class):

 Determine Site (soil)
 Class from site-specific geotechnical report or other reliable source acceptable to the building official,







47

Steps to determine SDC as assigned by the IRC SDC maps (incorporates default Site Class):

2. Go to the ASCE Hazard Tool site:

https//ascehazardtool.

org,

3. Enter property

address,

ASCE HAZARD TOOL





- 4. Standard Version: Select ASCE 7-22
- 5. Risk Category:
 - Select applicable Risk Category II (RC II used for example)
- 6. Site Soil Class:
 - Select Site Class identified in Step 1 (example uses BC)







 From results (summary, detailed, or full report), determine the Seismic Design Category

Standard:	ASCE/SEI 7-22
Risk	п
Category:	11
Soil Class:	BC
Seismic I	Data
SS	0.95
S ₁	0.36
S _{MS}	0.85
S _{M1}	0.36
S _{DS}	0.57
S _{D1}	0.24
TL	16
PGAM	0.4
V _{S30}	760
Seismic	
Design	D
Category	
	Where values of the multi-period 5%-damped MCER resp
	spectrum are not available from the USGS Seismic Desig
Note	Geodatabase, the design response spectrum shall be
	permitted to be determined in accordance with Section



SDC = D

2024 IBC Seismic Design Category Maps

science for a change	GS ing world	SCIENCE	PRODUCTS	NEWS	CONNECT	ABOUT	
EARTHQUAKE H	AZARDS PROGRAM	NCE					
National Seismic Hazard Model ACTIVE By Earthquake Hazards Program March 9, 2022							
Overview	Science						
Overview Science Earthquakes cause an estimated annualized loss to the U.S. of several billions of dollars. To mitigate earthquake losses, it is necessary to evaluate the earthquake hazards across the country.							





The updates to the IRC and IBC maps are based on:

- (1) Recommendations of the Project 17 collaboration between the Building Seismic Safety Council (BSSC) and the USGS (BSSC, 2019), and
- (2) The 2018 update of the USGS NSHM for the conterminous U.S..

Included are the following:

- The Project 17 recommendations include improvements to site-class effects,
- Spectral periods defining short-period and one-second ground-motion parameters,
- Deterministic caps on the otherwise probabilistic ground motions, and
- Maximum-direction scale factors.





The updates in the 2018 USGS NSHM from the previous (2014) version (used in the 2018 and 2021 versions of the IBC and IRC) include incorporation of:

- New NGA-East and other ground-motion models for the central and eastern U.S.,
- Deep sedimentary basin effects in the Los Angeles, Seattle, San Francisco, and Salt Lake City regions,
- Earthquakes that occurred in 2013 through 2017, and
- Updated weights for the western U.S. ground-motion models.





- In general, the Project 17 and NSHM updates have not resulted in significant changes to the spectral response acceleration parameters SDS and SD1 at default site conditions (Site Class).
- As a result, IRC and IBC mapped SDCs at default site conditions have generally stayed the same or increased or decreased by one. This is illustrated in the 34 predominantly western U.S. cities discussed in the commentary to Chapter 22 of the 2020 NEHRP Provisions.
- It is known, however, that larger changes have occurred in spectral response accelerations in parts of the central and eastern U.S. This is particularly true for soft soil sites, where changes of up to two SDCs have occurred because the site coefficients of previous editions of the IRC and IBC, which were predominantly based on western U.S. data, have in 2024 editions been replaced with eastern U.S. data.





Alaska, Hawaii, Puerto Rico, the U.S. Virgin Islands, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, and American Samoa:

For the states and territories outside of the conterminous U.S., where the existing USGS NSHMs did not yet support direct development of multi-period response spectra (MPRS) needed for the above- mentioned modifications to site-class effects and spectral periods, MPRS were developed using the FEMA P-2078 "Procedures for Developing Multi-Period Response Spectra at Non-Conterminous United States Sites" (FEMA, 2020b).







- ASCE, 2021. Minimum Design Loads and Associated Criteria for Buildings and Other Structures (ASCE/SEI 7-22), American Society of Civil Engineers, Reston VA.
- BSSC, December 2019. Project 17 Final Report Development of the Next Generation of Seismic Design Value Maps for the 2020 NEHRP Provisions.
- FEMA, 2020a. NEHRP Recommended Seismic Provisions for New Buildings and Other Structures, 2020 Edition (FEMA P-2082-1), Federal Emergency Management Agency, Washington, DC.
- FEMA, 2020b. Procedures for Developing Multi-Period Response Spectra at Non-Conterminous United States Sites (FEMA P-2078).







- FEMA, 2023. 2020 NEHRP Recommended Seismic Provisions: Seismic Design Category Maps for 2024 International Residential Code (IRC) and International Building Code (IBC) (FEMA P-2192-4).
- ICC, 2024 a. International Building Code, 2024 Edition, international Code Council, Country Club Hills, IL.
- ICC, 2024 b. International Building Code Commentary, 2024 Edition, international Code Council, Country Club Hills, IL.
- ICC, 2024 c. International Residential Code, 2024 Edition, international Code Council, Country Club Hills, IL.







- FEMA, 2023. Earthquake-Resistant Design Concepts: An Introduction to Seismic Provisions for New Buildings (FEMA P-749).
- FEMA, 2021. The Role of the NEHRP Recommended Seismic Provisions in the Development of Nationwide Seismic Building Code Regulations: A Thirty-Five-Year Retrospective (FEMA P-2156).





Thank you!

Questions?





Contact

Mai (Mike) Tong Physical Scientist, NEHRP Project Officer <u>Mai.Tong@fema.dhs.gov</u>

The 2024 Seismic Design Maps for IRC/IBC Fact Sheet and Webinar material have been delivered through the National Institute of Building Sciences' Building Seismic Safety Council under a FEMA NEHRP A&E contract



